2013 DRAFTING REQUEST

Assembly Amendment (AA-AB40)

Receiv	red: 6/6/20	013		R	Received By: jkreye						
Wante	d: As ti	me permits		S	Same as LRB:						
For:	Legis	lative Fiscal Bu	ıreau	В	sy/Representing:	Representing: Shanovich (RR)					
May C	ontact:			Ε	Drafter: jkreye						
Subjec	et: Tax,	Other - miscell	aneous	A	Addl. Drafters:						
				E	extra Copies:						
Reque	t via email: ster's email: n copy (CC) to	-	ative Fiscal I 1.kreye@legi		gov						
Topic	Shanovich	(RR) - audit reports en	forcement act	ivities							
Instru	ections:										
See at	tached										
Drafti	ing History:										
Vers.	Drafted	Reviewed	<u>Typed</u>	Proofed	Submitted	<u>Jacketed</u>	Required				
/?	jkreye 6/6/2013										
/P1	jkreye 6/7/2013	evinz 6/7/2013	rschluet 6/7/2013		mbarman 6/6/2013						
/P2					lparisi 6/7/2013						

FE Sent For:

<**END>**

2013 DRAFTING REQUEST

Asser	nbly An	nendment (AA-A	AB40)							
Receiv	ved: 6	/6/2013			Received By:	jkreye				
Wante	d: A	As time permits		Same as LRB:						
For:	I	egislative Fiscal B	ureau		By/Representing: Shanovich (RR)					
May C	Contact:			·	Drafter: jkreye					
Subjec	et: T	Tax, Other - miscel	laneous		Addl. Drafters:					
					Extra Copies:					
Reque	t via emai ster's ema n copy (C	il: Legis	lative Fiscal l h.kreye@legi		ı.gov					
Pre To	opic:									
LFB:	Shanov	vich (RR) -			-					
		leral audit reports er	nforcement ac	tivities						
See att	tached									
Drafti	ing Histor	ry:			 					
Vers.	Drafted	Reviewed	<u>Typed</u>	Proofed	Submitted	<u>Jacketed</u>	Required			
/?	jkreye 6/6/2013	3			<u>.</u>					
/P1 FE Se:	nt For:	evinz 6/6/2013 /p2 eev 6/7/3	rschluet 6/6/2013		mbarman 6/6/2013					
		· ·)	(A) <end< td=""><td>)></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></end<>)>						

2013 DRAFTING REQUEST

Asser	mbly A	mendment	(AA-A	AB40)								
Receiv	ved:	6/6/2013			•	Received By: jkreye						
Wante	d:	As time perm	its			Same as LRB:						
For: Legislative Fiscal Bureau						By/Representing:	: Shanovich (RR)					
May C	Contact:					Drafter:	jkreye					
Subjec	et:	Tax, Other -	miscell	aneous		Addl. Drafters:						
						Extra Copies:						
Reque	t via em ster's em n copy (nail:	_	lative Fisca h.kreye@le	l Bureau gis.wisconsir	ı.gov						
Pre To	opic:											
LFB:	Shano	ovich (RR) -										
Topic		-										
Motion	n 999: fe	ederal audit rep	orts en	forcement a	ctivities /							
Instru	ctions:											
See att	tached											
Drafti	ng Histo	ory:						<u> </u>				
Vers.	Drafted	l Revi	<u>ewed</u>	Typed	Proofed	Submitted	<u>Jacketed</u>	<u>Required</u>				
/?	jkreye	4 66	V 13	5								
FE Ser	nt For:			(X)								

<**END>**



9

State of Misconsin 2013 - 2014 LEGISLATURE



LFB:.....Shanovich (RR) – Motion 999: federal audit reports enforcement activities

FOR 2013-2015 BUDGET — NOT READY FOR INTRODUCTION ASSEMBLY AMENDMENT, TO ASSEMBLY BILL 40

in 6 6 13

1	At the locations indicated, amend the bill as follows:
2	1. Page 728, line 19: delete "with a" and substitute "with an audit". \checkmark
3	2. Page 728 , line 21: delete "determination" and substitute "audit
4	determination".√
5	3. Page 728, line 25: delete "with a" and substitute "with the tax issue in the
6	prior audit". ✓
7	$oldsymbol{4.}$ Page 729, line 1: delete "the issue" and substitute "the tax issue in the prior
8	audit determination".✓

(END)

Kreye, Joseph

From:

Shanovich, Ron

Sent:

Friday, June 07, 2013 1:05 PM

To:

Kreye, Joseph

Subject:

FW: LRB Draft: 13b0373/P1 Motion 999: federal audit reports enforcement activities

Attachments:

13b0373/P1.pdf; Analysis 6 - Reliance on Past Audits Provisions.doc; 201306070955.pdf

Importance:

High

Joe here's DOR's recs on prior audits Ron

From: Gibbons, Vicki L - DOR [mailto:Vicki.Gibbons@revenue.wi.gov]

Sent: Friday, June 07, 2013 11:27 AM

To: Shanovich, Ron Cc: Hardt, Diane L - DOR

Subject: FW: LRB Draft: 13b0373/P1 Motion 999: federal audit reports enforcement activities

Importance: High

We did get the page numbers and line numbers of AB 40 to match. Attached is that version with the Assembly Amendment changes shown. Only our recommended change #4 is being addressed, the others are not. These are my concerns:

- 1. Our recommended change #1 was that all references to "determination" should be changed to "audit determination." We converted "determination" to "audit determination" wherever this occurred to incorporate recommended change #1. All these changes were picked up to sec. (b), but none were made to the rest of the proposed statute. Therefore, if nothing more is done, we will have reference to "determination" in sec. (a) and "audit determination" in sec. (b). On the attached copy of AB 40 I have circled the six times where "determination" is used in sec. (a). All of these should be changed to "audit determination."
- 2. Our recommended language to change the last sentence of sec. (b) was omitted. As it reads now, the first sentence refers to "any period associated with an audit determination," which is appropriate, and the last sentence refers to "any period associated with the tax issue in the prior audit determination." We don't think this last sentence reference is clear. To address this problem the corrected language that we proposed for the last sentence of sec. (b) should be used.

From: Weber, Nathaniel R - DOR

Sent: Thursday, June 06, 2013 6:10 PM

To: Gibbons, Vicki L - DOR

Cc: DeBano, Richard L - DOR; Miller, Wendy J - DOR; Hardt, Diane L - DOR

Subject: FW: LRB Draft: 13b0373/P1 Motion 999: federal audit reports enforcement activities

Importance: High

This appears to have the important changes we requested (see attached Analysis 6), but I cannot tell for certain since the page numbers and line numbers do not match AB 40 (budget bill). I am not sure what version of AB 40 Ron Shanovich is referencing, but it would be helpful to have it for our review.

Thanks, Nate

From: Gibbons, Vicki L - DOR

Sent: Thursday, June 06, 2013 3:26 PM

To: DeBano, Richard L - DOR; Miller, Wendy J - DOR

Cc: Weber, Nathaniel R - DOR; Hardt, Diane L - DOR

Subject: FW: LRB Draft: 13b0373/P1 Motion 999: federal audit reports enforcement activities

Importance: High

Wendy and Rick,

Please review today or early tomorrow and let me know if any concerns with going outside of DOR proposal.

Thanks.

Vicki

From: Shanovich, Ron [mailto:Ron.Shanovich@legis.wisconsin.gov]

Sent: Thursday, June 06, 2013 2:48 PM

To: Gibbons, Vicki L - DOR

Subject: FW: LRB Draft: 13b0373/P1 Motion 999: federal audit reports enforcement activities

Hi Vicki! This draft which modifies the reliance on past audits is slightly different than the DOR recommended changes. Is it ok? Thanks Ron Shanovich

From: Schlueter, Ron

Sent: Thursday, June 06, 2013 12:51 PM

To: Shanovich, Ron

Cc: Reinhardt, Rob; Hanaman, Cathlene; Holten, Vicki

Subject: LRB Draft: 13b0373/P1 Motion 999: federal audit reports enforcement activities

Following is the PDF version of draft 13b0373/P1.

<<13b0373/P1>>

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This electronic mail transmission and any accompanying documents contain information belonging to the sender which may be confidential and legally privileged. This information is only for the use of the individual or entity to whom this electronic mail transmission was intended. If you are not the intended recipient, any disclosure, copying, distribution, or action taken in reliance on the contents of the information contained in this transmission is strictly prohibited. If you have received this transmission in error, please immediately contact the sender and delete the message. Thank you.

ASSEMBLY BILL 40

^

,

SECTION 1466

Section 1466. 73.16 (3) of the statutes is created to read

73.16 (3) RELYING ON PAST AUDITS. (a) A person who is subject to a determination by the department, including all other members of that person's combined group for purposes of determining the tax due under s. 71.23 for taxable years beginning after December 31, 2008, shall not be liable for any amount that the department asserts that the person owes if all of the following conditions are satisfied:

- 1. The liability asserted by the department is the result of a tax issue during the period associated with a prior determination for which the person is subject to and the tax issue is the same as the tax issue during the period associated with the current determination.
- 2. A department employee who was involved in the prior determination identified or reviewed the tax issue before completing the prior determination, as shown by any schedules, exhibits, audit reports, documents, or other written evidence pertaining to the determination, and the schedules, exhibits, reports, documents and other written evidence show that the department did not adjust the person's treatment of the tax issue.
- 3. The liability asserted by the department as described under subd. 1. was not asserted in the prior determination.
- (b) This subsection does not apply to any period associated with a determination, if the period begins after the promulgation of a rule, dissemination of written guidance to the public or to the person who is subject to the determination, the effective date of a statute, or the date on which a tax appeals commission or court decision becomes final and conclusive and if the rule, guidance, statute, or decision imposes the liability as a result of the tax issue described in par. (a) 1. This subsection does not apply to any period associated with a determination if the taxpayer did not

ASSEMBLY BILL 40

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

THE THE ISSUE IN THE PRIOR AWAIT

give the department employee adequate and accurate information or if the issue is settled by a written agreement between the department and the taxpayer.

SECTION 1467. 76.14 of the statutes is amended to read:

76.14 Remedies for nonpayment of taxes. All taxes levied under this subchapter upon the property of any company defined in s. 76.02, which are not paid at the time provided by law, shall thereupon become delinquent and bear interest at the rate of 1.5% per month until actually paid. Upon a showing by the department under s. 73.16 (4), the failure of any such company to pay the taxes and interest so required of the company within 60 days after the entry of final judgment dismissing in whole or in part any action of the company to restrain or set aside a tax, or the failure of the company within 60 days after the entry of final judgment in favor of the state for the taxes and interest to pay the judgment shall be cause for forfeiture of all the rights, privileges and franchises granted by special charter or obtained under general laws, by or under which the company is organized and its business is operated. The attorney general upon the showing by the department under s. 73.16 (4) shall proceed by action to have forfeiture of such rights, privileges and franchises of the company duly declared. Any such company, at any time before the final judgment for forfeiture of such rights, privileges and franchises is rendered, may be permitted, absent a showing by the department under s. 73.16 (4), to pay the taxes, interest and the costs of the action upon special application to the court in which the action is pending upon such terms as the court directs. Section 71.91, as it applies to the collection of delinquent taxes under ch. 71, applies to the collection of delinquent taxes under this subchapter.

SECTION 1468. 76.636 (1) (d) of the statutes is amended to read:

ÐΓ	VISION ANALYSIS OF LEGISLATIO	ON (Form 1)	email completed copies to:		
Fis	cal Note Analysis? Yes No X		Mike Wagner (Sec Office) Nate Weber (Tech. Services) Matt Sweeney (R&P)		
Div	rision: IS&E				
,	Division Use:				
	igned to: k DeBano	Date: 2/22/13	Due Date: 3/6/13		
	pared by: ck DeBano	Date: 3/1/13	(on about a bould assessable to me		
	viewed by:	Date:	(analysis should generally be pre- pared within 1 week of assignment)		
Na	te Weber	March 7, 2013			
1.	Bill and/or LRB Number: AB 40 – Section	ons 1464-1466, 9337(1)			
2.	Type of Taxes Affected: All taxes and fee	s administered by the depa	rtment.		
3.		ie is the same as a tax issue it determination, and this w			
4.	Statutory language problems, if any:	_X Yes No			
	The following changes are recommended to	Section 1466 of AB 40:			
		ver, "audit" is not used with	nination". The heading for this new statute is nin the body of the new statute. This change lit situation.		
		t of a tax issue that is the sa	ability asserted by the department in the ame as the tax issue associated with a prior otains the same results.		
	3) Remove sec. 73.16(3)(a)3. This languages 73.16(3)(a)(2)	age is redundant since the	requirement is already written into sec.		
	4) Change the language of s. 73.16(3)(b)	to provide clarity and cons	istency as follows:		
	period begins after the promu public or to the person who is the date on which a tax appea and if the rule, guidance, statudescribed in par. (a) 1. This saudit determination if the taxp	Igation of a rule, dissemina subject to the determination of court declar, or decision imposes the ubsection does not apply to bayer did not give the depart of the tax issue in the prior	with an audit determination, if the ation of written guidance to the on, the effective date of a statute, or cision becomes final and conclusive e liability as a result of the tax issue or any period associated with an artment employee adequate and audit determination or if the tax written agreement between the		
5.	Effective date problems, if any, including	g transitional problems:	YesXNo		
	The initial applicability language is contain applicability language included in the draft		AB40 and is consistent with the initial		



State of Misconsin 2013 - 2014 LEGISLATURE



LFB:.....Shanovich (RR) – Motion 999: federal audit reports enforcement activities

FOR 2013-2015 BUDGET -- NOT READY FOR INTRODUCTION ASSEMBLY AMENDMENT,

TO ASSEMBLY BILL 40

in 6-7-13

(Invert 1-1)

1

7

At the locations indicated, amend the bill as follows:

- 2 **1.** Page 728, line 19: delete "with a" and substitute "with an audit".
- 2. Page 728, line 21: delete "determination" and substitute "audit determination".
- 5 Rage 728, line 25: delete "with a" and substitute "with the tax issue in the

6 prior audit".

4. Page 729, line 1: delete "the issue" and substitute "the tax issue in the prior

B = (audit determination).

9 (breet 1-8)

(END)

2013–2014 DRAFTING INSERT FROM THE LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

Insert 1 - 1

	Insert 1 – 8
7	7. Page 728, line 18: after "prior" insert "audit".
6	6. Page 728, line 14: after "to the" insert "audit". ✓
5	5. Page 728, line 12: after "prior" insert "audit".
4	4. Page 728, line 11: after "prior" insert "audit". ✓
3	3. Page 728, line 10: after "current" insert "audit".√
2	2. Page 728, line 8: after "prior" insert "audit".
1	1. Page 728, line 2: delete "to a" and substitute "to an audit". ✓

- 8. Page 729, line 1: delete that line and substitute "give the department employee adequate and accurate information regarding the tax issue in the prior audit determination or if the tax issue was".
- 11 9. Page 729, line 2: after "settled" insert "in the prior audit determination".



State of Misconsin 2013 - 2014 LEGISLATURE



LFB:.....Shanovich (RR) – Motion 999: federal audit reports enforcement activities

FOR 2013-2015 BUDGET -- NOT READY FOR INTRODUCTION ASSEMBLY AMENDMENT, TO ASSEMBLY BILL 40

1	At the	locations	indicated,	amend	the	bill	as	follows:
-		I O O O O I O I I O		O		,		

- 2 **1.** Page 728, line 2: delete "to a" and substitute "to an audit".
- 3 2. Page 728, line 8: after "prior" insert "audit".
- **3.** Page 728, line 10: after "current" insert "audit".
- 5 **4.** Page 728, line 11: after "prior" insert "audit".
- **5.** Page 728, line 12: after "prior" insert "audit".
- 7 **6.** Page 728, line 14: after "to the" insert "audit".
- 8 **7.** Page 728, line 18: after "prior" insert "audit".
- 9 **8.** Page 728, line 19: delete "with a" and substitute "with an audit".

3

7

8

1	9.	Page	728,	line	21:	delete	"determination"	and	substitute	"audit
2.	determina	ation"				ı				

- 10. Page 728, line 25: delete "with a" and substitute "with an audit".
- 11. Page 729, line 1: delete that line and substitute "give the department employee adequate and accurate information regarding the tax issue in the prior audit determination or if the tax issue was".
 - 12. Page 729, line 2: after "settled" insert "in the prior audit determination".

(END)